hakue

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/267 /2016 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4, Free Press Journal Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date:

26 JUL 2016

C.A. NO. 48/2015 IN O.A. NO. 917/2014. (Sub:-Promotion).

1 Mr. Satilal A. Borse, R/o. Chakarbardi Road, Dhule, Tal. & Dist. Dhule.

.APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, Shri. Mahesh Pathak, The Secretary, Dairy Development & Fishery Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 3 Shri. N.V. Rathod, The Regional Dairy Development Officer, Nasik Region, Trimbak Road, Nasik-02.
- 5 Shri. V.V. Patil, District Dairy Development Officer, Govt. Milk Scheme Compound, Chakkarbardi Road, Dhule.
- 2 Shri. Y.E. Kerure, The Commissioner Dairy Development M.S., Admini. Bldg., Khan Abdul Gafar Khan Road, Seaface, Worli, Mumbai.
- 4 Shri. Eknath R. Dawale, The Divisional Commissioner, Nasik Division, Nasik Road, Nasik.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to: The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 22nd day of July, 2016 has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE:

Applicant In Person.

Shri. K.B. Bhise, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM

HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

DATE

22.07.2016.

ORDER

Order Copy Enclosed/Order Copy Over Leaf.

Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

SHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

of 20

D CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| ida of Coram, orders or 's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | C.A. No.48 of 2015 in O.A. No.917 of 2014 |
| | Shri S.A. BorseApplicant |
| | The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents |
| | Heard Applicant in person and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. |
| | Contemnor Nos.3 and 4 are present and have tendered affidavits tendering apology. |
| | 3. Affidavit of respondent no.4 accompanies modified order giving benefit to the applicant in compliance to the order of this Tribunal. |
| | 4. The applicant is satisfied with the fact of compliance and fact of apology. The applicant further says that he is not pressing for cost if the arrears if given within one month. |
| airman) mber) A | 5. Respondents No.3 and 4 assures that remaining compliance would be made within two months. |
| Jesson. | 6. In view of the foregoing, CA is disposed off. |
| | 7. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. |
| | sd/ |
| ped off. | (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 22,7,2016 |
| #te | (sgj) |

TRUE COPY

Asstt. Registrar/Research Officer Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Mumbai.